

SPOKES

The Lothian Cycle Campaign

St Martins Church, 232 Dalry Road, Edinburgh EH11 2JG 0131.313.2114 spokes@spokes.org.uk www.spokes.org.uk twitter SpokesLothian

Please reply to: Dave du Feu, 2 Greenpark Cottages, Edinburgh Road, Linlithgow EH49 6AA
Email: davedufeu@gmail.com **Phone:** 01506 670165

To: Head of Transport/Roads, Scottish Local Authorities **FAO:** Officer responsible for cycling policy 27 March 2011

Dear Sir/Madam

SURVEY OF SCOTTISH LOCAL AUTHORITY CYCLING EXPENDITURE 2010/11

I would very much appreciate if you could acknowledge receipt of this form, let me know who will be dealing with it, and email or post the completed form back by 11 April.

For 15 years Spokes has conducted this survey, whose results appear in our 12,000-circulation Bulletin. Its main purpose is to enable informed lobbying* - especially at national level. The last two years' results have been reprinted by the independent **Scottish Parliament Information Centre, SPICe**, who commended the survey as "the most comprehensive analysis of funding for Scottish Cycling Projects" in their report for MSPs, *Cycling in Scotland*, available at www.scottish.parliament.uk/business/research/briefings-09/SB09-48.pdf. As always, we press for long-term budgeted funding rather than one-off yearly allocations – the need for this is patently obvious from the survey.

Our evidence-based lobbying* has been very influential: Holyrood politicians involved in transport are now well aware that only some 1% of total Scottish transport investment goes to cycling. This helped inform the Parliamentary Transport Committee's increasingly strong recommendations for higher cycling investment, which in turn helped achieve the CAPS funding boost, giving a significant rise in Sustrans 2010/11 funds (expected to continue in 2011/12) and helped ensure CWSS continuance for 2011/12. For the next Parliament, we have lobbied political parties and are optimistic that three Holyrood election party manifestos will promise increased cycling investment [see 'manifestos' article in Spokes Bulletin 109]. Relevant details from actual manifestos will appear on our website once published.

Thanking you very much for your help

Dave du Feu

*For example, the Spokes 11/12 budget submission is at www.spokes.org.uk/wordpress-downloads-submissions-national.

1 Name of Local Authority: **Contact person for survey:**

Department:

Position:

Phone:

E-Mail:

2 Does your local authority have targets for cycle use? YES/NO

If YES...

a. Please specify the target(s)...

b. Are the targets in your Local Transport Strategy? **YES/NO**

c. Are the targets in your Single Outcome Agreement? **YES/NO**

3 Do you have any designated specialist cycle staff in the transport/roads department? YES/NO

If YES, please complete this table...

Name of officer(s)	Name of post(s)	% of time allocated to cycling

Use the table below to complete the entry 'Total CWSS for your Council' in the first line of question 5 overleaf.

CWSS 10/11 ALLOCATIONS		East Ayrshire	212	Highland	374	Scottish Borders	196
Council	£K	East Dunbartonshire	191	Inverclyde	146	Shetland	40
Aberdeen City	365	East Lothian	170	Midlothian	149	South Ayrshire	199
Aberdeenshire	412	East Renfrewshire	165	Moray	154	South Lanarkshire	544
Angus	191	Edinburgh, city of	818	North Ayrshire	241	Stirling	160
Argyll & Bute	163	Eilean Siar	45	North Lanarkshire	579	West Dunbartonshire	164
Clackmannanshire	86	Falkirk	267	Orkney	34	West Lothian	303
Dumfries & Galloway	262	Fife	639	Perth & Kinross	247	Total	9090
Dundee City	249	Glasgow City	1021	Renfrewshire	304		

General notes
for questions
4-14 below

- a. If exact figure unknown enter provisional figure and state 'approx.' If none state None or enter '0'.
 b. If easier, send relevant committee reports or link to them
 c. Please include the **cycle element** of integrated schemes – e.g. bus corridors with a cycle element
 d. **Very important: For multi-year projects, please include only the amounts applicable to 2010/11.**

	Expected capital expenditure 2010/11
4 Total transport/roads capital spend 10/11 - Please <i>omit</i> any one-off government allocations for specific major road or public transport schemes. - Do include capitalised maintenance.	Note that question 4 is <i>total roads/transport</i> , NOT just cycle projects.
Cycle projects	For each funding source, state amount and main projects/purposes
5 CWSS Capital Consent [Cycling, Walking and Safer Streets]	Total CWSS for your Council... [Get this from table overleaf] Please now state in (a)-(c) below how this total CWSS sum is spent
a. Cycle or cycle/walk facilities [include the cycling element of any safe routes to school]	Amount Project/purpose
b. Walk-only facilities	
c. Other [specify] ...	
6 Funding for cycle projects from Regional Transport Partnerships	Amount Project/purpose
7 Sustrans funding (i.e. from the government's allocation to Sustrans)	Amount Project/purpose
8 Sustrans Connect2 lottery funding [Dumfries, Perth, Glasgow] Non-lottery Connect2 contributions should be entered elsewhere, e.g. q7 or q14.	Amount Project/purpose
9 Smarter Places/ Smarter Choices government funding [Dumfries, Dundee, East Dunbartonshire, East Renfrewshire, Falkirk, Glasgow, Orkney]	a. Total government 10/11 allocation for this project... b. How much of this can be counted as cycle project work?...
10 If your council has a cycle budget from its own capital funds [i.e. excluding 5-9 above] please enter details here.	Amount Project/purpose
11 Any significant cycle project spending from other roads/transport budgets [e.g. cycle element of a bus/cycle corridor]	Amount Project/purpose
12 Any significant cycle project capital funding from non-transport council budgets [e.g. Recreation or Planning].	Amount Project/purpose
13 Any significant developer funding allocated to cycle projects	Amount Project/purpose
14 Any other significant outside sources of cycle project funding.	Amount Project/purpose

15 If you expect any major changes in your cycling investment funding sources in 2011/12 please describe briefly.

16 Give any comments on funding methods for cycle project capital expenditure. Consider small/medium/large cycle projects and integrated cycle/public transport projects. What changes would overcome the problems?